

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/510,504	<b>Applicant(s)</b> GOTOU ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Jordan M. Schwartz	<b>Art Unit</b> 2873	

**All Participants:**

 (1) Jordan M. Schwartz.

 (2) Robert Jackson.
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 12 September 2006
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-2 15-18

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The changes to claims 1 and 16 were discussed and agreed upon to add additional clarity to applicant's intended meaning. It was agreed to cancel claim 2 since it's limitations are now within independent claim 1 and therefore claim 2 would be a duplicate of claim 1. It was agreed to cancel non-elected claims 15 and 17-18.